AD)						

Award Number: DAMD17-00-1-0185

TITLE: Stage 1 breast cancer and bone mass in older women

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Diane L. Schneider, M.D.

Donna Kritz-Silverstein, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, California 92093-0934

REPORT DATE: October 2002

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20030328 239

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

		<u></u>		maintaining
the data needed, and completing and reviewing this reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters S Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pro	Services, Directorate for Information Operations an	ling this burden estimate or any oth nd Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis H	ner aspect of this collect lighway, Suite 1204, Art	ion of information, including suggestions for
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		3. REPORT TYPE AND Annual (1 Oct 2		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	10000001 2002	,	5. FUNDING N	UMBER
Stage 1 breast cancer and bo	ne mass in older women		DAMD17-00-1-	·V 100
6. AUTHOR(S)				
Diane L. Schneider,				
Donna Kritz-Silvers	scein, Pn.D.			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING REPORT NU	G ORGANIZATION MBER
University of Calif				
La Jolla, Californi				
email dlschneider@ucsd.edu				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AC	BENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	;}	10. SPONSORI	NG / MONITORING
			AGENCY R	EPORT NUMBER
U.S. Army Medical Research and Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-50				
, <u>.</u>				
·			Ì	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			1 - J	who and white
Original contains color	r plates: All DTIC rep	productions will	pe in bla	ck and white.
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	/ STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for Public Rel	lease; Distribution Unl	limited		DIGITIDO HOIT CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Woo	rds)			
The specific aims of the study are	1) to assess the bone mineral der	isity of women 65 year	s of age and old	er with breast cancer in
comparison with the bone mineral	density of same aged women wi	th normal mammogram	ns; 2) to examin	e the risk factors associated
with breast cancer and low bone n the predictive value of low bone n	mass in these two groups of women	en; 3) to develop a mod	lel based on the	study population to determine
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
During the three years of this stud	ly, a total of 300 women (cases w	ith breast cancer and co	ontrols with a n	ormal mammogram) aged 65
and older will be recruited from or visit, each subject will complete q	ncology and radiology offices to uestionnaires detailing medical h	participate in a study construction health habits re	onsisting of one	clinic visit. At the clinic
and weight will be measured. A b	plood sample will be drawn for st	orage. Bone mineral de	ensity will be m	easured at the forearm, hip,
lumbar spine (L1-L4), and whole	body using dual energy x-ray abs	sorptiometry (DXA).		, τ,
The results of this study can be us	ed 1) to identify the likelihood of	flow bone mass in olde	er women with 1	preast cancer: 2) to identify the
risk factors that are common to be	oth low BMD and breast cancer;	and 3) to determine the	feasibility of di	scontinuing mammography
after 65 in women with low bone	mass.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES
breast cancer, bone man	ss, older women, mammo	gram	}-	10 16. PRICE CODE
			FIGARIA	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSII OF ABSTRACT	HICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassif	ied	

Table of Contents

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Table of Contents	3
Introduction	4
Body	4
Key Research Accomplishments	7
Reportable Outcomes	7
Conclusions	7
References	7
Appendices	8

Introduction:

Women with low bone mineral density (BMD) have a low risk for breast cancer. 1,2 Therefore, it has been suggested that mammography may not be worthwhile for older women with low bone density.3 Measuring BMD at age 65 and stopping mammography in women who have low BMD has been proposed as a cost-effective clinical practice. However, before implementation of this proposal, the question of what proportion of women with breast cancer have low BMD needs to be addressed. The specific aims of the proposed study are 1) to assess the bone mineral density of women 65 years of age and older with breast cancer in comparison with the bone mineral density of same aged women with normal mammograms; 2) to examine the risk factors associated with breast cancer and low bone mass in these two groups of women; 3) to develop a model based on the study population to determine the predictive value of low bone mass for risk of breast cancer. During the three years of this proposed case-control study, a total of 300 women (cases with breast cancer and controls with a normal mammogram) aged 65 and older will be recruited from oncology and radiology offices to participate in a study consisting of one clinic visit. At the clinic visit, each subject will complete questionnaires detailing medical history, health habits, reproductive history, and medications. Height and weight will be measured. A blood sample will be drawn for storage. Bone mineral density will be measured at the forearm, hip, lumbar spine (L1-L4), and whole body using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

Body:

Recruitment Phase

At the present time, end of Year 2 of 3, we are still in the recruitment phase for this case-control study. Our study goal was 150 cases of women with newly diagnosed breast cancer and 150 control subjects who have had a normal mammogram. However, we are re-evaluating 1:1 case control ratio and we would achieve greater statistical power using the current number of cases and increasing to 2-3 controls per case. Therefore, we are continuing recruitment of cases and opening up recruitment of additional controls. Cases are defined as women 65 years and older with newly diagnosed breast cancer (within 4 months of their definitive surgical procedure) and control subjects within 4 months of a normal mammogram.

Recruitment has been difficult despite having affirmation of recruitment assistance from multiple sources including hospitals, physicians offices and mailing to age-eligible women identified from voter registration lists. Over the past year we have concentrated on recruitment of cases. Additional resources for recruitment were requested from the sponsor and granted; so that those clinic or hospital staff screening for recruitment of women into the study are compensated for their time. The total number of subjects who have completed the study clinic visit is 106: 57 cases and 49 controls. The ethnicity is 82.1% White (not Hispanic),10.4% Hispanic, 4.7% Asian or Pacific Islander, 2.8% Black or African American.

Study Clinic Visit

Subjects are seen at the General Clinical Research Center outpatient facility on the UCSD La Jolla campus for one clinic visit. Participants are asked to fast for 12 hours prior to their clinic appointment and to bring in all their medications, including over-the-counter preparations. The clinic visit has been averaging two hours in duration and the following procedures are being performed:

- 1. Description of the study and administering informed consent before starting any study procedures.
- 2. Self-administered questionnaires used to obtain information on medical history, family history, health habits detailing smoking history, alcohol consumption, caffeine use, physical activity (Pfaffenberger), and diet (Block Food Frequency).
- 3. Medications and over-the-counter preparations are validated and recorded detailing the name, dose, frequency, duration, and route of delivery.
- 4. Height, weight, waist and hip circumferences, and percent body fat from whole body DXA are measured.
- 5. A fasting sample of blood (30 cc) is drawn for frozen storage and urine sample is collected for frozen storage.
- 6. Bone mineral density is measured at the forearm, hip, lumbar spine (L1-L4), and whole body using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

Preliminary Results

For presentation at the annual Era of Hope meeting in September 2002, we analyzed the 57 cases and 49 controls who had completed their study visit. As shown in Table 1, the cases and controls were similar age, years postmenopausal and number of reproductive years. The cases had a higher mean BMI and waist circumference. Their use of current estrogen and other selected lifestyle factors were not significantly different (p>.10).

Table 1. Characteristics of selected covariates of breast cancer cases and agematched controls, Breast and Bone Study, San Diego, CA, 2000-2002.

	Cases	Controls		
Mean values (SD)	(n= 57)	(n=49)	t or x2	p-value*
Age (years)	72.4 (5.8)	72.5 (5.3)	047	.963
BMI †	27.4 (4.7)	25.5 (5.2)	1.97	.051
Waist circumference (cm)	89.7 (14.0)	82.8 (12.9)	2.53	.013
Hip circumference (cm)	103.4 (9.7)	99.9 (10.3)	1.73	.087
Years postmenopausal	25.9 (10.7)	24.2 (9.8)	.857	.394
Number of reproductive years ‡	33.9 (9.0)	35.6 (7.1)	-1.02	.312
Percentages				
Current estrogen use**	55.4	65.3	1.08	.324
Current smoking	7.0	4.1	.43	.684
Ever smoked	43.9	38.8	.28	.693

Alcohol use (at least 1-2 times/week)	43.9	32.7	1.40	.317
Calcium supplement use	64.2	68.9	.25	.672
Breast cancer staging				
Stage 0	14.6			
Stage I	43.9			
Stage II	41.5			

^{*} p value from t-test (continuous variables) or from x² test (categorical variables)

As displayed in Table 2, there were no differences in the bone mineral density at the lumbar spine, hip, forearm, or total body between cases and controls.

Table 2. Bone mineral densities of breast cancer cases and age-matched controls, Breast and Bone Study, San Diego, CA, 2000-2002.

Mean values (SD)	Cases (n= 57)	Controls (n=49)	t	p-value
Lumbar spine	.973 (.173)	.962 (.178)	.295	.768
Femoral neck	.700 (.116)	.678 (.109)	1.02	.313
Total hip	.835 (.134)	.791 (̀.130)́	1.69	.093
Forearm	.510 (.066)	.505 (.071)	.349	.728
Total body	1.014 (.12 5)	.988 (.099)	1.18	.241

As shown in Table 3, adjusted odds ratios for breast cancer were did not differ significantly by tertile of bone mineral density at the hip or lumbar spine.

Table 3. Adjusted odds ratios relating breast cancer status with tertiles of bone mineral density, Breast and Bone Study, San Diego, CA, 2000-2002.

	Breast cancer	
	OR	95 % CI
Hip BMD tertile		
1 † (.453743)	1.00	
2 (.744869)	1.62	0.50 - 5.28
3 (.870 – 1.317)	1.26	0.38 - 4.21
Lumbar spine BMD tertile		
1 † (.561891)	1.00	
2 (.892 – 1.016)	1.35	0.42 - 4.38

[†] Weight (kg)/height (m)²

[‡] Number of years between menarche and menopause

^{**} using estrogen at time of breast cancer diagnosis or up to 1 year before diagnosis (cases)

3 (1.017 - 1.572)

1.93

0.58 - 6.43

Adjusted for BMI and current estrogen use † Referent

In summary, the preliminary results do not shown any differences in bone mineral density at multiple sites between newly diagnosed women with breast cancer in comparison with age-matched women with normal mammograms. Therefore, our preliminary data suggests that bone mineral density would not be useful as prescreening for mammography in older women.

Future plans are continued recruitment of cases and controls. In order to increase the increase the power of this case-control study, we are planning to increase the recruitment of controls with 2-3 controls per case rather than the current 1:1 ratio.

Key Research Accomplishments:

Not applicable at this time.

Reportable Outcomes:

Abstract and poster presentation (refer to appendices) were submitted and presented at the 2002 Era of Hope Meeting in Orlando, Florida.

Conclusions:

Not applicable at this time.

References:

- 1. Cauley J, Lucas F, Kuller L, MT V, Browner WS, Cummings SR. Bone mineral density and risk of breast cancer in older women: The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures. JAMA 1996;276:1404-08.
- 2. Zhang Y, Kiel D, Kreger B, et al. Bone mass and the risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women. New England Journal of Medicine 1997;1997:611-17.
- 3. Kerlikowske K, Salzmann P, Phillips K, Cauley J, Cummings SR. Continuing screening mammography in women aged 70 to 79 years. Impact on life expectancy and cost effectiveness. JAMA 1999;282:2156-63.

Appendices:

Abstract Poster

BREAST CANCER AND BONE MINERAL DENSITY

Diane L. Schneider, Donna Kritz-Silverstein

University of California, San Diego

Dlschneider@ucsd.edu

ABSTRACT:

Recent studies have shown that women with low bone mineral density (BMD) have a low risk for breast cancer. Therefore, it has been suggested that mammography may not be worthwhile for older women with low bone density. Measuring BMD at age 65 and stopping mammography in women who have low BMD has been proposed as a cost-effective clinical practice. However, women with newly diagnosed breast cancer have not been evaluated to determine what their BMD levels are at the time of diagnosis. The purpose of our study is to assess the BMD of women 65 years of age and older with newly diagnosed breast cancer in comparison with the bone mineral density of same aged women with normal mammograms and to examine the risk factors associated with breast cancer and low bone mass in these two groups of women; and to develop a model based on the study population to determine the predictive value of low bone mass for risk of breast cancer.

We are in the process of recruiting women 65 years and older for 150 cases, women with within 4 months of their definitive surgical procedure for breast cancer, and 150 controls, women within 4 months of a normal mammogram. At one clinic visit, subjects complete a health questionnaire. Height, weight, waist and hip girth are measured. Bone mineral density is measured at the hip, spine, forearm, and total body by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (Hologic QDR 2000).

Preliminary results from 24 cases and 42 controls were evaluated. The mean age for both groups is 72 years. Bone mass index is higher in cases than controls, 27.1 (\pm 4.1 SD) versus 26.2 (\pm 6.0 SD). Bone mineral density at the total hip was lower in the cases in comparison with the controls, 0.785 g/cm2 (\pm 0.108 SD) and 0.795 (\pm 0.127 SD), respectively. At the lumbar spine, the mean BMD was also lower in the cases, 0.933 (\pm 0.126 SD), than controls, 0.978 (\pm 0.182 SD).

In the first group of women evaluated for this study, the BMD of women with newly diagnosed breast cancer is lower than controls. However, the results of this study are preliminary and cannot be yet be used to make any conclusions.

BREAST CANCER AND BONE MINERAL DENSITY Diane L Schneider, Donna Kritz-Silverstein, Julie Sandwell.

University of California San Diego

ABSTRACT

or other concern, memory is than been supposed in an employ operating you not added to other women with now loss desirely. Researchy globs a large for any desirely concern, and any other concerns which has been proposed as a concern of any other concerns which has been proposed as a concern of a concern which have desirely produce, herever, women with newly disposed produce, herever, women with newly disposed been seen as a concern of other concerns here any other produces and any other produces and any of the concerns any other produces and of the concerns and other with newly and concerns any other produces with newly and concerns and only to the concerns and other with newly and concerns and other with newly to desire any other produces are delivered with breast a contract of the concerns and concer

We are in the process of ractaling women 16 years and older for 190 cases, women vir within fromton of their definitive sarging is process of cases, and 180 control women within 4 months of a cornal mananogam. At one claim virtil, subjects complete neath, earlier, within 4 months of a cornal mananogam. At one claim virtil, subjects complete neath present within 4 months of a cornal mananogam, and other presents of the present of the present of the plan spire, forearm, and total book by data energy x-ray also proposed to the plan spire, forearm, and total book by data energy x-ray also proposed to the plan spire, forearm, and total book by data energy x-ray also proposed to the plan spire.

Printingary under the root of a second of the control of the root of the root

In the first group of women evaluated for this study, the BMD of women with hereby degreesed chestic stances to lower than controls. However, the results of this study are preferred to and center to be yet be used to make any conclusions.

The U.S. Army Medical Research Material Command under DAMD17-00-1-0185 supported this work.

BACKGROUND

- Recent studies have shown that women with low bone mineral density (BMD) have a low risk for breast cancer.
- It has been suggested that mammography may not be worthwhile for older women with low bone density.
- + Messuring BMD at age 65 and stopping mammography in women who have low BMD has been proposed as a cost-effective rifnical practice.

Women with newly diagnosed breast cancer have not been statistal/sided (6786bm)he what their BMD levels are at the time of

The purpose of our study is to assess the BMD of women 65 years of age and older with newly diagnosed breast cancer in comparison with the bone mineral density of same aged women with normal mammograms and to examine the risk factors associated with breast cancer and low bone mass in these two groups of women.

METHODS

- STUDY POPULATION

- - DATA COLLECTION (2000-2002) a Standardized questionnaire (n
- spine, forearm, and total body by DXA Experiences, and medications)

 # Machiners, and medications
 # BARD (glorn?) at No, kanbar spire, forearm, and total body by

 # BARD (glorn?) at No, kanbar spire, forearm, and total body by

 # Holding CON, 2007

 # Michael Propriet verification of cases for disprosts & steafing

ed questionnaire (medical history, health habits, dietary ments, and medications)

- STATISTICAL ANALYBES
- Comparisons used kleets for continuous variables and chi-cquare for cargoorical variables continuous and continuous color and continuous cardio for rais of bases cancer were calculated with logistic regression adjusting for BMI, and current estiogen use

Ween values (SO)		Cases (n=57)	Controls (n=49)	tors	D-value
Age (years)		72.4 (5.8)	72.5 (5.3)	740	8
BMI				1.97	5
Weist circumference (cm)		89.7 (14.0)		2.53	913
HIp circumference (cm)			89.9 (10.3)	1.73	.087
Years postmenopeusal		~		759	Š
Number of reproductive yes	# 2	33.9 (9.0)		-1.02	312
Percentages					
Current estrogen use		12.3	65.3	31.86	8
Current smoking		2.0	; ;	5	88.
Ever smoked		43.9	88	ĸ	.693
Alcohol use (et least 1-2 times	es/week)	627	32.7	4.	317
Calcium supplement use	4.	64.2	6.88	8	.672
Breast cancer staging	****				
Stage 0		14.6			
Stage	-	43.9			
Otage ==	,	41.9		٠.	

품	
퉏	
Ö	
Ţ	
matche	
뵱	
ŧ	
ě	
nd age	
3	نہ
2	8
萝	Ÿ
볼	ğ
2	4, 2000-2002.
ž	×
breast cancer	ž
2	ğ
2	۵
Ē	tudy, San Diego, CA, 200
ê	ű
Ť	2
·\$	tudy
.≛	Bone Study, S
4	5
촟	9
ŏ	ž
Je 2. E	ast and E
품	ě
Ē	ă

	2000	Sutter		
Mean values (SD)	(F=5)	(1		D-Value
Lumbar spine		.962 (.178)	82	88
Fernaral neck		678 (.109)	8	313
Total hip		791 (130)	88	8
Forearm	510 (000)	.505 (071)	8	88
Total body		(680) 886	1.18	241

Table 3. Adjusted odds ratios relating breast cancer status with tertiles of bone mineral density, Breast and Bone Study, San Diego, CA, 2000-2002.

le 743) 743) 8899 1.317) BMD tertile	
	0.50 - 5.28
	0.38 4.21
00'1 (1891-1901)	
2 (.892 – 1.016) 1.35	0.42 - 4.38
3 (1.017 - 1.572)	0.58 - 6.43

TABLE 1

- Women were age-matched.
- Cases had higher BMIs, waist and hip circumferences.
- Current estrogen defined as use within the past 4 months was significantly lower in the cases.

TABLE 2

+Bone mineral density was similar at all measured

TABLE 3

 Adjusted odds ratios were not significantly different between tertiles of bone mineral density at the hip or lumbar spine

LIMITATIONS

- These are preliminary results.
- Limited number of subjects thus far, therefore results may not reflect truth.
- Unable to determine which participants stopped hormone use based on abnormal mammogram.

CONCLUSION

- differences in bone mineral density between the women A in this small case-control study, there were no with new diagnosed breast cancer and controls.
- *Therefore, bone mineral density would not be useful as prescreening for mammography in older women.